arXiv:0907.4910v1 [gr-qc] 28 Jul 2009

Interacting new agegraphic Phantom model of dark energy in non-flat universe

M.R. Setare [*]
Department of Science, Payame Noor University. Bijar. Iran

Abstract

In this paper we consider the new agegraphic model of interacting dark energy in non-flat universe. We show that the interacting agegraphic dark energy can be described by a phantom scalar field. Then we show this phantomic description of the agegraphic dark energy and reconstruct the potential of the phantom scalar field.

---

[*] E-mail: rezakord@ipm.ir

1 Introduction

According to cosmological observations our universe is undergoing an accelerating expansion, and the transition to the accelerated phase has been realized in the recent cosmological past [1]. In order to explain this remarkable behavior, and despite the intuition that this can be achieved only through a fundamental theory of nature, we can still propose some paradigms for its description. Thus, we can either consider theories of modified gravity [2], or introduce the concept of dark energy which provides the acceleration mechanism. The dynamical nature of dark energy, at least in an effective level, can originate from various fields, such is a canonical scalar field (quintessence) [3], a phantom field, that is a scalar field with a negative sign of the kinetic term [4], or the combination of quintessence and phantom in a unified model named quintom [5]. The advantage of this combined model is that although in quintessence the dark energy equation-of-state parameter remains always greater than -1 and in phantom cosmology always smaller than -1, in quintom scenario it can cross -1.

In addition, many theoretical studies are devoted to understand and shed light on dark energy, within the string theory framework. The Kachru-Kallosh-Linde-Trivedi model [6] is a typical example, which tries to construct metastable de Sitter vacua in the light of type IIB string theory. Despite the lack of a quantum theory of gravity, we can still make some attempts to probe the nature of dark energy according to some principles of quantum gravity. An interesting attempt in this direction is the so-called "holographic dark energy" proposal [7, 8, 9, 10]. Such a paradigm has been constructed in the light of holographic principle of quantum gravity [11], and thus it presents some interesting features of an underlying theory of dark energy. More recently a new dark energy model, dubbed agegraphic dark energy has been proposed [12] (see also [13]), which takes into account the Heisenberg uncertainty relation of quantum mechanics together with the gravitational effect in general relativity.

In the present paper, we suggest a correspondence between the new agegraphic dark energy scenario and the phantom dark energy model. We show this phantomic description of the interacting new agegraphic dark energy in non-flat universe, and reconstruct the potential of the phantom scalar field.

2 Interacting agegraphic phantom in non-flat universe

In this section we obtain the equation of state for the agegraphic energy density when there is an interaction between agegraphic energy density ρ_Λ and a Cold Dark Matter(CDM) with $w_m = 0$. The continuity equations for dark energy and CDM are

$$\dot{\rho}_\Lambda + 3H(1+w_\Lambda)\rho_\Lambda = -Q, \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm m} + 3H\rho_{\rm m} = Q. \tag{2}$$

The interaction is given by the quantity $Q = \Gamma\rho_\Lambda$. This is a decaying of the agegraphic energy component into CDM with the decay rate Γ. Taking a ratio of two energy densities as $u = \rho_{\rm m}/\rho_\Lambda$, the above equations lead to

$$\dot{u} = 3Hu\Big[w_\Lambda + \frac{1+u}{u}\frac{\Gamma}{3H}\Big] \tag{3}$$

Here as in Ref.[14], we choose the following relation for decay rate

$$\Gamma = 3b^2(1+u)H \tag{4}$$

with the coupling constant b^2. Following Ref.[15], if we define

$$w_\Lambda^{\rm eff} = w_\Lambda + \frac{\Gamma}{3H}\ , \qquad w_m^{\rm eff} = -\frac{1}{u}\frac{\Gamma}{3H}\ . \tag{5}$$

Then, the continuity equations can be written in their standard form

$$\dot{\rho}_\Lambda + 3H(1+w_\Lambda^{\rm eff})\rho_\Lambda = 0\ , \tag{6}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_m + 3H(1+w_m^{\rm eff})\rho_m = 0 \tag{7}$$

We consider the non-flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe with line element

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)(\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2). \tag{8}$$

where k denotes the curvature of space k=0,1,-1 for flat, closed and open universe respectively. A closed universe with a small positive curvature ($\Omega_k \sim 0.01$) is compatible with observations [16, 17]. We use the Friedmann equation to relate the curvature of the universe to the energy density. The first Friedmann equation is given by

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{1}{3M_p^2}\Big[\rho_\Lambda + \rho_{\rm m}\Big]. \tag{9}$$

Define as usual

$$\Omega_{\rm m} = \frac{\rho_m}{\rho_{cr}} = \frac{\rho_{\rm m}}{3M_p^2H^2}, \qquad \Omega_\Lambda = \frac{\rho_\Lambda}{\rho_{cr}} = \frac{\rho_\Lambda}{3M_p^2H^2}, \qquad \Omega_k = \frac{k}{a^2H^2} \tag{10}$$

According to the new agegraphic dark energy we have following relation for energy density [18]

$$\rho_\Lambda = 3n^2 M_p^2 \eta^{-2}. \tag{11}$$

where the numerical factor $3n^2$ is introduced to parameterize some uncertainties, such as the species of quantum fields in the universe, η is conformal time, and given by

$$\eta = \int \frac{dt}{a} = \int \frac{da}{a^2H}. \tag{12}$$

Using definitions $\Omega_\Lambda = \frac{\rho_\Lambda}{\rho_{cr}}$ and $\rho_{cr} = 3M_p^2H^2$, we get

$$H\eta = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}} \tag{13}$$

Using Eqs. (5), (6), (11), (13), one can obtain the equation of state as

$$w_\Lambda = -(1 - \frac{2}{3na}\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda} + \frac{\Gamma}{3H}) \tag{14}$$

Then using Eqs.(4),(10) we can rewrite the above equation as following

$$w_\Lambda = -(1 - \frac{2}{3na}\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda} + \frac{b^2(1+\Omega_k)}{\Omega_\Lambda}), \tag{15}$$

then we can see that w_Λ can cross the phantom divide if $\frac{b^2(1+\Omega_k)}{\Omega_\Lambda} > \frac{2}{3na}\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}$. This implies that one can generate phantom-like equation of state from an interacting new agegraphic dark energy model in non-flat universe only if $\frac{3nb^2}{2} > \frac{\Omega_\Lambda^{3/2}}{(1+\Omega_k)a}$.

Now we assume that the origin of the dark energy is a phantom scalar field ϕ, so

$$\rho_\Lambda = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \tag{16}$$

$$P_\Lambda = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) \tag{17}$$

In this case w_Λ is given by

$$w_\Lambda = \frac{-\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi)}{-\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi)} \tag{18}$$

According to the forms of phantom energy density and pressure eqs.(16, 17), one can easily derive the scalar potential and kinetic energy term as

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(1-w_\Lambda)\rho_\Lambda \tag{19}$$

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = -(1+w_\Lambda)\rho_\Lambda \tag{20}$$

Differenating Eq.(9) with respect to the cosmic time t, one find

$$\dot{H} = \frac{\dot{\rho}}{6HM_p^2} + \frac{k}{a^2} \tag{21}$$

where $\rho = \rho_m + \rho_\Lambda$ is the total energy density, now using Eqs.(1, 2)

$$\dot{\rho} = -3H(1+w)\rho \tag{22}$$

where

$$w = \frac{w_\Lambda \rho_\Lambda}{\rho} = \frac{\Omega_\Lambda w_\Lambda}{1+\frac{k}{a^2H^2}} \tag{23}$$

Substitute $\dot{\rho}$ into Eq.(21), we obtain

$$w = \frac{2/3(\frac{k}{a^2} - \dot{H})}{H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2}} - 1 \tag{24}$$

In a phantom dominated universe $\dot{H} > 0$, from Eq.(24) one can see easily that in the $k = 0, k = -1$ cases $w < -1$, therefore in this cases $w_\Lambda < -1$ also. For $k = 1$, the necessary condition to obtain $w_\Lambda < -1$ is this: $\dot{H} > \frac{1}{a^2}$.

Using Eqs.(23, 24), one can rewrite the agegraphic energy equation of state as

$$w_\Lambda = \frac{-1}{3\Omega_\Lambda H^2}(2\dot{H} + 3H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2}) \tag{25}$$

Substitute the above w_Λ into Eqs.(19, 20), we obtain

$$V(\phi) = \frac{M_p^2}{2}[2\dot{H} + 3H^2(1+\Omega_\Lambda) + \frac{k}{a^2}] \tag{26}$$

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = M_p^2[2\dot{H} + 3H^2(1-\Omega_\Lambda) + \frac{k}{a^2}] \tag{27}$$

In the spatially flat case, $k = 0$,and $\Omega_\Lambda = 1$, in this case the Eqs.(26, 27) are exactly Eq.(6) in [19] if we consider $\omega(\phi) = -1$. In similar to the [19, 20], we can define $\dot{\phi}^2$ and $V(\phi)$ in terms of single function $f(\phi)$ as

$$V(\phi) = \frac{M_p^2}{2}[2f'(\phi) + 3f^2(\phi)(1+\Omega_\Lambda) + \frac{k}{a^2}] \tag{28}$$

$$1 = M_p^2[2f'(\phi) + 3f^2(\phi)(1-\Omega_\Lambda) + \frac{k}{a^2}] \tag{29}$$

In the spatially flat case the Eqs.(28, 29) solved only in case of presence of two scalar potentials $V(\phi)$, and $\omega(\phi)$. Here we have claimed that in the presence of curvature term $\frac{k}{a^2}$, Eqs.(28, 29) may be solved with potential $V(\phi)$ (To see the general procedure for such type calculations refer to [19, 20]). Hence, the following solution are obtained

$$\phi = t, \qquad H = f(t) \tag{30}$$

One can check that the solution (30) satisfies the following scalar field equation

$$-\ddot{\phi} - 3H\dot{\phi} + V'(\phi) = 0 \tag{31}$$

Therefore by the above condition, $f(\phi)$ in our model must satisfy following relation

$$3f(\phi) = V'(\phi) \tag{32}$$

In the other hand, using Eqs.(11),(15), (19), and (20) we have

$$V(\phi) = (3 - \frac{\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}}{na} + \frac{3b^2(1+\Omega_k)}{2\Omega_\Lambda})M_p^2H^2\Omega_\Lambda \tag{33}$$

$$\dot{\phi} = (3b^2(1+\Omega_k) - \frac{2}{na}\Omega_\Lambda^{3/2})^{1/2}M_pH \tag{34}$$

Using Eq.(34), we can rewrite Eq.(33) as

$$V(\phi) = 3M_p^2H^2\Omega_\Lambda + \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2}, \tag{35}$$

or in another form as following

$$V(\phi) = 3M_p^2f^2(\phi)\Omega_\Lambda + \frac{1}{2} \tag{36}$$

Then, from Eqs.(28, 36), we get

$$\frac{k}{a^2} = 3f^2(\phi)(\Omega_\Lambda - 1) - 2f'(\phi) + \frac{1}{M_p^2} \tag{37}$$

In the other hand, using Eqs.(15),(25), and (30), one can obtain

$$\frac{k}{a^2} = 3\Omega_\Lambda f^2(\phi)(1 - \frac{2\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}}{3na} + \frac{b^2(1+\Omega_k)}{\Omega_\Lambda}) - 2f'(\phi) - 3f^2(\phi) \tag{38}$$

Now, using Eqs.(37),(38) we obtain

$$\Omega_\Lambda = (\frac{na}{2})^{2/3}(3b^2(1+\Omega_k) - \frac{1}{M_p^2 f^2(\phi)})^{2/3}, \tag{39}$$

where

$$a = e^{\int f(\phi)d\phi} \tag{40}$$

Substitute the above Ω_Λ into Eq.(36), we obtain the scalar potential as following

$$V(\phi) = 3M_p^2 f^2(\phi)(\frac{n}{2})^{2/3} e^{\frac{2}{3}\int f(\phi)d\phi}(3b^2(1+\Omega_k) - \frac{1}{M_p^2 f^2(\phi)})^{2/3} + \frac{1}{2}. \tag{41}$$

3 Conclusions

Although a complete theory of quantum gravity in not established yet, one can make some attempts to investigate the nature of dark energy according to some principles of quantum gravity. The agegraphic and new agegraphic models are such examples. In this paper we have associated the interacting new agegraphic dark energy in non-flat universe with a phantom scalar field. We have shown that the new agegraphic dark energy can be described by the phantom in a certain way. Then a correspondence between the new agegraphic dark energy and phantom has been established, and the potential of the agegraphic phantom has been reconstructed.

References

[1] A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], Astrophys. J. **607**, 665 (2004); S. Perlmutter *et al.* [Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration], Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999); D. N. Spergel *et al.*, Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148**, 175 (2003); S. W. Allen, *et al.*, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **353**, 457 (2004).

[2] S.Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 123512 (2003); S.Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **4**, 115 (2007); M. R. Setare and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Lett. B **670**, 1 (2008) [arXiv:0810.3296 [hep-th]].

[3] B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3406 (1988); C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B **302**, 668 (1988); A. R. Liddle and R. J. Scherrer, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 023509 (1999) [arXiv:astro-ph/9809272]; I. Zlatev, L. M. Wang and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 896 (1999); Z. K. Guo, N. Ohta and Y. Z. Zhang, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **22**, 883 (2007).

[4] R. R. Caldwell, Phys. Lett. B **545**, 23 (2002); R. R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski and N. N. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 071301 (2003); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **562**, 147 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0303117]; V. K. Onemli and R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 107301 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0406098]; M. R. Setare, Eur. Phys. J. C **50**, 991 (2007).

[5] B. Feng, X. L. Wang and X. M. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **607**, 35 (2005); Z. K. Guo, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **608**, 177 (2005); M.-Z Li, B. Feng, X.-M Zhang, JCAP, 0512, 002

(2005); B. Feng, M. Li, Y.-S. Piao and X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **634**, 101 (2006); M. R. Setare, Phys. Lett. B **641**, 130 (2006); W. Zhao and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 123509 (2006); M. R. Setare, J. Sadeghi, and A. R. Amani, Phys. Lett. B **660**, 299 (2008); J. Sadeghi, M. R. Setare, A. Banijamali and F. Milani, Phys. Lett. B **662**, 92 (2008); M. R. Setare and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 177 (2008); M. R. Setare and E. N. Saridakis, [arXiv:0807.3807 [hep-th]]; M. R. Setare and E. N. Saridakis, JCAP **0809**, 026 (2008).

[6] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and S. P. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 046005 (2003).

[7] A. G. Cohen, D. B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4971 (1999); P. Horava and D. Minic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1610 (2000); S. D. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 081301 (2002).

[8] S. D. H. Hsu, Phys. Lett. B **594**, 13 (2004).

[9] M. Li, Phys. Lett. B **603**, 1 (2004); D. Pavon and W. Zimdahl, Phys. Lett. B **628**, 206 (2005).

[10] K. Enqvist and M. S. Sloth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 221302 (2004); K. Ke and M. Li, Phys. Lett. B **606**, 173 (2005); Q. G. Huang and M. Li, JCAP **0503**, 001 (2005); E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and P. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 103504 (2005); B. Wang, Y. Gong and E. Abdalla, Phys. Lett. B **624**, 141 (2005); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Gen. Rel. Grav. **38**, 1285 (2006); H. Kim, H. W. Lee and Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **632**, 605 (2006); B. Hu and Y. Ling, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 123510 (2006); H. Li, Z. K. Guo and Y. Z. Zhang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 869 (2006); M. R. Setare, Phys. Lett. B **642**, 1 (2006); M. R. Setare, Phys. Lett. B **642**, 421 (2006); M. R. Setare, Phys. Lett. B **644**, 99 (2007); M. R. Setare, J. Zhang and X. Zhang, JCAP **0703** 007 (2007); M. R. Setare, Phys. Lett. B **648**, 329 (2007); M. R. Setare, Phys. Lett. B **654**, 1 (2007); W. Zhao, Phys. Lett. B **655**, 97, (2007); M. Li, C. Lin and Y. Wang, JCAP **0805**, 023 (2008).

[11] G. 't Hooft, [arXiv:gr-qc/9310026]; L. Susskind, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 6377 (1995).

[12] R. G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B **657**, 228, (2007).

[13] I. P. Neupane, Phys. Lett. B **673**, 111, (2009); K. Y. Kim, H. W. Lee, Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **660**, 118, (2008; J. Zhang, X. Zhang, H. Liu, Eur. Phys. J. **C54**, 303, (2008).

[14] B. Wang, Y. Gong, and E. Abdalla, Phys. Lett. B **624**, 141 (2005).

[15] H. Kim, H. W. Lee and Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **632**, 605, (2006).

[16] C. L. Bennett et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. 148, 1 (2003).

[17] M. Tegmark et al., Phys. Rev. D **69**, 103501 (2004).

[18] H. Wei, R. G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B **660**, 113, (2008); K. Y. Kim, H. W. Lee, Y. S. Myung, M. I. Park, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A23**, 3049, (2008); J .P Wu, D. Z. Ma, Y. Ling, Phys. Lett. **B663**, 152, (2008); J. Cui, L. Zhang, J. Zhang, X. Zhang, arXiv:0902.0716 [astro-ph].

[19] S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Gen. Rel. Grav. **38**, 1285, (2006).

[20] S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. **B632**, 597, (2006); S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, hep-th/0611071; S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and H. Stefancic, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 086009, (2006).